IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

BYRAN LATKANICH and RYAN LATKANICH, a minor by and through natural guardian BRYAN LATKANICH,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CHEVRON CORP., CHEVRON U.S.A. INC., CHEVRON APPALACHIA, LLC, EQT CORP., EQT PRODUCTION COMPANY, EQT CHAP LLC, and JOHN DOE DEFENDANTS,

Defendants.

Certification of Compliance Regarding Confidential Information

I certify that this filing complies with the Provisions of the *Public Access Policy of the Unified Judicial System of Pennsylvania: Case Records of the Appellate and Trial Courts* That require filing confidential information and Documents differently than non-confidential Information and documents

Lisa Johnson, Esquire

FILED JAN 2 2 2024 L. H. HOUGH PROTHONOTARY

CIVIL DIVISION

Case No. 2022-6006

Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to Chevron Corporation's Motion for a Protective Order

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Filed on Behalf of Plaintiffs Bryan Latkanich and minor child and Plaintiff Ryan Latkanich, by and through his natural guardian

Counsel of Record for this Party:

Lisa Johnson Pa. ID No. 200101 1800 Murray Ave., #81728 Pittsburgh, PA 15217 lisa@lajteam.com

Michael Bruzzese Pa. ID No. 15219 436 7th Ave., Suite 220 Pittsburg, PA 15219 mjb@mjblawoffice.com

Erin Powers (admitted pro hac vice) Jakob Norman (admitted pro hac vice) Brian Ward (admitted pro hac vice) Ansley O'Brien (admitted pro hac vice) 877 South Victoria Ave., Suite 201 Ventura CA 93003 Office: 310.855.3727 jakob@tl4j.com brian@tl4j.com ansley@tl4j.com

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

BYRAN LATKANICH and)	CIVIL DIVISION
RYAN LATKANICH, a minor by and through)	
natural guardian BRYAN LATKANICH)	Case No. 2022-6006
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
V.)	
CHEVRON CORP., CHEVRON)	
U.S.A. INC., CHEVRON)	
APPALACHIA, LLC, EQT CORP.,)	
EQT PRODUCTION COMPANY,)	
EQT CHAP LLC, and JOHN DOE)	
DEFENDANTS,)	
)	
Defendants)	

<u>Plaintiffs' Response in Opposition to</u> <u>Chevron Corporation's Motion for a Protective Order</u>

Plaintiffs, by and through undersigned counsel, file this Response in Opposition to Chevron Corporation's ("Chevron") Motion for a Protective Order pursuant to the Court Order dated January 5, 2024, and in support thereof states as follows:

1. Denied. The statements in this paragraph conflate corporate accountability with harassment. By way of further response, Chevron has no standing to seek a protective order for persons not employed by Chevron. Please also see Plaintiffs' accompanying Memorandum of Law.

2. Admitted in part, denied in part. The Plaintiffs admit that Chevron is incorporated in the state of Delaware, and its primary place of business is California. Plaintiffs deny Chevron's claim that it has not availed themselves of the jurisdiction of Pennsylvania. By way of further response, please see Plaintiffs' accompanying Memorandum of Law.

3. Admitted in part, denied in part. Plaintiffs admit that Defendants filed Preliminary Objections but deny any characterization thereof. By way of further response, please see Plaintiffs' accompanying Memorandum of Law.

4. Admitted in part, denied in part. Plaintiffs admit that the parties exchanged proposed factual stipulations and that factual disputes remain. Plaintiffs deny Plaintiffs refused to stipulate to undisputed facts. By way of further response, please see Plaintiffs' accompanying Memorandum of Law.

5. Admitted in part, denied in part. Plaintiffs admit that Chevron is agreeable to Ms. Endries testifying at a hearing. Plaintiffs deny that Ms. Endries is the only witness that should be compelled to testify at a hearing. By way of further response, please see Plaintiffs' accompanying Memorandum of Law.

6. Admitted in part, denied in part. Plaintiffs admit that the names listed in this paragraph correspond to Plaintiffs' proposed witnesses but deny any characterization thereof. Plaintiffs deny that the Court cannot compel persons not employed by Chevron to testify at a hearing. Moreover, Chevron has no standing to seek a protective order for persons not employed by Chevron. Please also see Plaintiffs' accompanying Memorandum of Law. 7. The statements in this paragraph involve legal argument, for which no response is required. Notwithstanding, Chevron has provided no legal support for the arbitrary standard it has set forth. Plaintiffs have not manufactured irrelevant factual disputes, as evidenced by the Defendants disputing the same facts in their own Memorandum of Law. Moreover, the corporate representative provided to the Plaintiffs during the deposition on December 6, 2023, was unprepared and is unable to provide testimony necessary for the Court to make an informed decision on the jurisdictional issue. Hence, the need for testimony from the individuals on the Plaintiffs' designated witness list who possess unique jurisdictional knowledge. By way of further response, please Plaintiffs' accompanying Memorandum of Law.

8. The statements in this paragraph are presently unverifiable as Plaintiffs have not yet had the opportunity to hear testimony from Alan Rosenthal, Joseph Miller, and Veronica Flores-Paningua and Chevron has no standing to seek a protective order preventing their testimony. If a response is deemed necessary, it is denied. By way of further response, please Plaintiffs' accompanying Memorandum of Law.

9. Neither admitted, nor denied as this paragraph refers to a written document that speaks for itself.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth herein and in Plaintiffs' accompanying Memorandum of Law, the Court should deny Chevron's Motion for a Protective Order and Ms. Kari Endries, Mr. Mike Wirth, Ms. Mary Francis, Mr. Joseph Miller, and Ms. Veronica Flores-Paniagua shall be compelled to appear at a hearing as ordered by the Court.

Respectfully submitted,

Lisa Johnson

Pa. Id No. 200101 1800 Murray Ave., #81728 Pittsburgh, PA 15217 412.913.8583 lisa@lajteam.com

Michael Bruzzese Pa. Id No. 15219 436 7th Ave., Suite 220 Pittsburgh, PA 15219 mjb@mjblawoffice.com

11 Erin Powers (admitted pro hac vice)

Jakob Norman (admitted pro hac vice) Brian Ward (admitted pro hac vice) Ansley O'Brien (admitted pro hac vice) 877 South Victoria Ave., Suite 201 Ventura CA 93003 Office: 310.855.3727 erin@tl4j.com jakob@tl4j.com brian@tl4j.com ansley@tl4j.com

January 22, 2024

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

)))

>)))

BYRAN LATKANICH and
RYAN LATKANICH, a minor by and
through natural guardian BRYAN
Latkanich
Plaintiffs,
V.
CHEVRON CORP., CHEVRON
U.S.A. INC., CHEVRON
APPALACHIA, LLC, EQT CORP.,
EQT PRODUCTION COMPANY,
EQT CHAP LLC, and JOHN DOE
DEFENDANTS,
Defendants.

CIVIL DIVISION

Case No. 2022-6006

ORDER

)

AND NOW, this ______ day of ______, 2024, after consideration of Chevron Corporation's Motion for Protective Order and Plaintiffs' response there to, Chevron Corporation's Motion is **DENIED**. The Court shall issue a separate order compelling Kari Endries, Mike Wirth, Mary Francis, Joseph Miller, and Veronica Flores-Paniagua to appear and testify at an evidentiary hearing to be held on _____, 2024 at _____ [am/pm].

BY THE COURT

J.

MICHAEL J. LUCAS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Plaintiffs'

Response in Opposition to Chevron Corporation's Motion for a Protective Order was served

upon Defendant's counsel via electronic mail, this 22nd day of January 2024.

Kathy K. Condo Mark K. Dausch Joshua S. Snyder Edward D. Phillips Two Gateway Center, 6th Floor 603 Stanwix Street Pittsburgh, PA 15222 <u>kcondo@babstcalland.com</u> <u>mdausch@babstcalland.com</u> <u>jsnyder@babstcalland.com</u> <u>ephillips@babstcalland.com</u>

Counsel for Defendants

Lisa Johnson Pa. Id No. 200101 1800 Murray Ave., #81728 Pittsburgh, PA 15217 412.913.8583 lisa@lajteam.com